Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/519,477	HAMADA ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
AMY HSU	2622

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) <u>AMY HSU</u> .	(3) Catherine Voisinet.
(2) <u>Lin Ye</u> .	(4)
Date of Interview: <u>07 October 2008</u> .	
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☑ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.
Claim(s) discussed: 1.	
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Umeyama (US 2002/0057473)</u> .	
Agreement with respect to the claims $\mathfrak{H}\square$ was reached.	g)☐ was not reached. h)⊠ N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRITY DAYS. FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Application No. 10/519,477

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative argues that "continuous photographing function" is not taught by Umeyama. Examiners do not agree, and suggest amending Claim 1 and similar independent claims to further define the continuous photograhing function, which would overcome the prior art of record and the non-final rejection dated 7/25/2008. Examiners further interpret Umeyama's memory, reference number 208, to teach the applicant's first aimage data storage unit. The suggested amendments are subject to further search.